

Existence of Best Approximations by Exponential Sums in Several Independent Variables

DAVID W. KAMMLER

Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

In this paper we establish the existence of a best L_p approximation, $1 \leq p < \infty$, to a given function $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded domain, from the family $V_n(S)$ of all n th order exponential sums in m independent variables for which the corresponding exponential parameters lie in the closed set $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In so doing we extend the previously known existence theorem which corresponds to the special case where $m = 1$ and \mathcal{D} is a finite interval.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the complex valued function $y(\mathbf{t})$ be defined and have continuous partial derivatives of all orders throughout \mathbb{R}^m and let $\mathcal{L}[y]$ denote the corresponding linear space (with complex scalars) which is generated by the functions

$$[D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_m^{i_m}] y(\mathbf{t}), \quad i_1, \dots, i_m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$D_i = \partial / \partial t_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

If $\mathcal{L}[y]$ has a finite dimension n we say that y is an exponential sum with order n . For example, the exponential sums

$$\begin{aligned} y(\mathbf{t}) &= 0, \\ y(\mathbf{t}) &= \exp(t_1 + t_2), \\ y(\mathbf{t}) &= t_1 \exp(t_1 + t_2), \\ y(\mathbf{t}) &= \exp(2t_1 + t_2) + \exp(t_1 + t_2) + \exp(t_1 + 2t_2) \end{aligned}$$

have orders 0, 1, 2, 3, respectively, in the case $m = 2$. The sum of two exponential sums having orders n_1, n_2 is again an exponential sum with order at most $n_1 + n_2$.

For such an exponential sum y we know that $D_i^n y$ can be written as a linear combination of $y, D_i y, \dots, D_i^{n-1} y, i = 1, \dots, m$, so that y satisfies some system of partial differential equations of the form

$$[(D_i - \lambda_{i1}) \cdots (D_i - \lambda_{in})] y(\mathbf{t}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (1)$$

Given a set $S \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ we define $V_n(S)$ to be the set of those exponential sums y with order at most n which satisfy some system (1) for which

$$\lambda_{ij} \in S, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Our main objective in this note will be to establish the following m -dimensional generalization of Theorem 2 from [2].

THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{D} be a bounded nonvoid open subset of \mathbf{R}^m , let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let S be a closed subset of \mathbf{C} , and let n be a positive integer. Then every $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$ has a best $\|\cdot\|_p$ -approximation from $V_n(S)$.*

2. THREE BASIC DEFINITIONS

Essentially the same proof which is used for the special case where $m = 1$ and \mathcal{D} is an interval can be used in the present situation provided that in addition to the above definition of $V_n(S)$ we formulate appropriate extensions of the parametrization $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbf{b}, \lambda)$, of the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{p,\alpha}$, and of the concepts of U, V, W -sequences which are introduced in [2].

In general, any solution of the system (1) is an exponential sum of order at most n^m , and we may specify a particular solution of (1) by assigning the n^m initial conditions

$$[D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_m^{i_m}] y(\mathbf{0}) = b_{i_1 \dots i_m}, \quad 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n - 1. \quad (2)$$

Indeed, by using a separation of variables technique we can easily construct a solution of the initial value problem (1), (2), and by using well-known methods (cf. [1, pp. 79, 80]) we can show that there is at most one such solution. We denote this unique solution by $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbf{b}, \lambda)$ where \mathbf{b}, λ represent the parameters $b_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ and λ_{ij} appearing in (2) and (1), respectively. Thus each $y \in V_n(\mathbf{C})$ can be parametrized in the form $y = \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbf{b}, \lambda)$ for some choice of \mathbf{b}, λ although this parametrization is not necessarily unique.

For each $\alpha \geq 0$ we define

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{D} : d(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{R}^m \setminus \mathcal{D}) > \alpha\}, \quad (3)$$

where d is the usual Euclidean distance function, and we define the seminorm $\| \cdot \|_{p,\alpha}$ on $L_p(\mathcal{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \|f \cdot \chi_\alpha\|_p,$$

where χ_α is the characteristic function of \mathcal{D}_α . Since \mathcal{D} has nonvoid interior, we may select for later use some $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that \mathcal{D}_{α_0} also has nonvoid interior. {The choice $\alpha_0 = 1/3$ was used in [2] in the case where $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{D} = (0, 1)$.} The argument used to prove Lemma 1 in [2] shows that when S is bounded the seminorms $\| \cdot \|_{p,\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, are uniformly equivalent and the differential operators D_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, are bounded on $V_n(S)$.

Given an exponential sum $y \in V_n(\mathbf{C})$ we define

$$A_i[y] = \bigcap \{\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with the intersections being taken over all possible choices of the exponential parameters λ_{ij} for which (1) holds. A sequence of exponential sums, $\{y_\nu\}$, from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$ will be called a U -sequence, a V -sequence, or a W -sequence as the corresponding spectral sets $A_i[y_\nu]$ satisfy the respective conditions

$$\max_i \liminf_\nu \{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda| : \lambda \in A_i[y_\nu]\} = +\infty,$$

$$\max_i \sup \left\{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty A_i[y_\nu] \right\} < +\infty,$$

or both of

$$\max_i \liminf_\nu \{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| : \lambda \in A_i[y_\nu]\} = +\infty,$$

$$\max_i \sup \left\{ |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| : \lambda \in \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty A_i[y_\nu] \right\} < +\infty.$$

From any sequence $\{y_\nu\}$ from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$ we can extract a subsequence (which we shall continue to call $\{y_\nu\}$) that may be decomposed in the form

$$y_\nu = u_\nu + v_\nu + w_\nu,$$

where $\{u_\nu\}$, $\{v_\nu\}$, $\{w_\nu\}$ are U -, V -, W -sequences from $V_{n_1}(\mathbf{C})$, $V_{n_2}(\mathbf{C})$, $V_{n_3}(\mathbf{C})$, respectively, with $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq n$.

3. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF U -, V -, W -SEQUENCES

The existence theorem which we wish to prove is an immediate corollary of the following lemma which gives four basic properties possessed by U -, V -, W -sequences (cf. the proof of Theorem 2 in [2].)

LEMMA. Let $\{u_v\}$, $\{v_v\}$, $\{w_v\}$ be U -, V -, W -sequences, respectively, from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$ and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(i) If $\{u_v + v_v + w_v\}$ is a $\| \cdot \|_p$ -bounded sequence from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$, then the component sequences $\{u_v\}$, $\{v_v\}$, $\{w_v\}$ are individually $\| \cdot \|_p$ -bounded.

(ii) If $\{v_v\}$ is $\| \cdot \|_p$ -bounded, then there is some subsequence of $\{v_v\}$ which uniformly converges on \mathcal{D} to some $v \in V_n(\mathbf{C})$.

(iii) If $\{u_v\}$ is $\| \cdot \|_p$ -bounded, then $\{u_v\}$ converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathcal{D} .

(iv) If $\{u_v + w_v\}$ is a sequence from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $f \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$, then

$$\lim \|f + u_v + w_v\|_p \geq \|f\|_p .$$

Proof. We have taken care to formulate the concepts given in the preceding sections in such a manner that the same arguments used in [2] may be used to establish the above lemma with (ii) being proved first as in Theorem 1 of [2] and with (i), (iii), and (iv) then being proved simultaneously using an induction on the order n as is done in Lemma 2 of [2]. This being the case we shall here supply only the critical argument used to show that (iii) holds in $V_n(\mathbf{C})$, $n \geq 1$, whenever (i) holds in $V_n(\mathbf{C})$ and (iii), (iv) hold in $V_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ leaving the remaining details to the reader.

As in [2] we need only consider the case where $p = 1$, where $\{u_v\}$ is a $\| \cdot \|_1$ -normalized U -sequence from $V_n(\mathbf{C})$, and where sequences $\{\gamma_v\}$ from \mathbf{C} and $\{\beta_v\}$, $\{\delta_v\}$ from \mathbf{R}^m have been chosen so that if

$$\theta_v(\mathbf{t}) = \gamma_v \exp(\beta_v \cdot \mathbf{t} + i\delta_v \cdot \mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}^m \tag{4}$$

(where the dot denotes the usual inner product in \mathbf{R}^m), then u_v may be decomposed in such a manner that

$$\begin{aligned} u_v &= \theta_v [u_v^* + v_v^* + w_v^*] \\ &= \theta_v v_v^* + u_v^{**}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\{v_v^*\}$ is a convergent $\| \cdot \|_1$ -normalized V -sequence which has limit v^* , where $\{u_v^*\}$ and $\{u_v^{**}\}$ are U -sequences from $V_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, where $\{w_v^*\}$ is a W -sequence, and where the order of u_v is the sum of the orders of u_v^* , v_v^* , w_v^* and also the sum of the orders of v_v^* , u_v^{**} . Since $\{u_v\}$ is a U -sequence, we see from (4), (5) that

$$\lim |\beta_v| = +\infty, \tag{6}$$

where we use $|\cdot|$ to denote the Euclidean norm in \mathbf{R}^m .

We select the sequence $\{t_\nu\}$ from $\partial\mathcal{D}$, the (compact) boundary of \mathcal{D} , in such a manner that

$$\beta_\nu \cdot t_\nu = \max\{\beta_\nu \cdot t : t \in \mathcal{D} \cup \partial\mathcal{D}\}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

and (by passing to a subsequence, if necessary) assume that $\{t_\nu\}$ has the limit $t^* \in \partial\mathcal{D}$. Using (3), (7), and our previous choice of α_0 , we also see that

$$\max\{\beta_\nu \cdot t : t \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cup \partial\mathcal{D}_\alpha\} \leq \beta_\nu \cdot t_\nu - \alpha |\beta_\nu|, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0. \tag{8}$$

Suppose now that α is chosen so that $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0/4$ and that K denotes the (nonvoid) intersection of \mathcal{D} with the open ball in \mathbb{R}^m which has center t^* and radius α . By dropping the first few terms of the sequence $\{t_\nu\}$, if necessary, we may assume that $t_\nu \in \partial K$ for each ν . We select the sequence $\{\tau_\nu\}$ from ∂K in such a manner that

$$\beta_\nu \cdot \tau_\nu = \min\{\beta_\nu \cdot \tau : \tau \in K \cup \partial K\}, \tag{9}$$

noting that

$$|t_\nu - \tau_\nu| \leq 2\alpha, \tag{10}$$

since both t_ν and τ_ν are contained within a closed ball with radius α .

Using (4), (5), and (9) together with the inductive hypothesis that (iv) holds in $V_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ (with respect to the domain K), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim \|u_\nu\|_1 \\ &\geq \overline{\lim} \int_K |u_\nu| \\ &\geq \overline{\lim} \left\{ |\theta_\nu(\tau_\nu)| \int_K |u_\nu^* + v_\nu^* + w_\nu^*| \right\} \\ &\geq \overline{\lim} |\theta_\nu(\tau_\nu)| \cdot \underline{\lim} \int_K |u_\nu^* + v^* + w_\nu^*| \\ &\geq \overline{\lim} |\theta_\nu(\tau_\nu)| \cdot \int_K |v^*|. \end{aligned}$$

And since the analytic function v^* (with $\|v^*\|_1 = 1$) cannot vanish identically on K , we infer the existence of some constant $B > 0$ such that

$$|\theta_\nu(\tau_\nu)| \leq B, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots$$

Together with (4), (6), (8), and (10) this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim} \| \theta_\nu v_\nu^* \|_{\infty, 3\alpha} &\leq \|v^*\|_\infty \overline{\lim} \max\{|\theta_\nu(t)| : t \in \partial\mathcal{D}_{3\alpha}\} \\ &= \|v^*\|_\infty \overline{\lim} [|\theta_\nu(\tau_\nu)| \cdot \exp[\beta_\nu \cdot (t_\nu - \tau_\nu)]] \\ &\quad \times \max\{\exp[\beta_\nu \cdot (t - t_\nu)] : t \in \partial\mathcal{D}_{3\alpha}\} \\ &\leq \|v^*\|_\infty \cdot B \cdot \overline{\lim} [\exp(2\alpha |\beta_\nu|) \exp(-3\alpha |\beta_\nu|)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $\{u_\nu\}$ is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -normalized, we see from (5) and (11) that $\{u_\nu^{**}\}$ must be $\|\cdot\|_{1,3\alpha}$ -bounded. Finally, by using (5), (11), and the inductive hypothesis that (iii) holds with respect to $\{u_\nu^{**}\}$ and the domain $\mathcal{D}_{3\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\overline{\lim} \|u_\nu\|_{\infty,4\alpha} \leq \overline{\lim} \|\theta_\nu v_\nu\|_{\infty,4\alpha} + \overline{\lim} \|u_\nu^{**}\|_{\infty,4\alpha} = 0$$

and from the arbitrariness of α we obtain (iii), thus completing the argument. ■

4. EXTENSIONS TO VECTOR VALUED EXPONENTIAL SUMS

Each of the definitions given in the introduction can be used in the case where $\mathbf{y}: \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^r$ is r -vector valued for some fixed integer $r \geq 1$, and our previous definitions of U -, V -, W -sequences can also be used when we choose to work with r -vector valued exponential sums. The above lemma can be extended to apply to U -, V -, W -sequences of r -vector valued exponential sums by making use of the known special case where $r = 1$. The basic existence theorem stated in the introduction now applies when we approximate a given r -vector valued function $\mathbf{f} \in L_p(\mathcal{D})$ with the r -vector valued exponential sums from $V_n(S)$ with the proof being the same as that for the special case where $r = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. G. BIRKHOFF AND G.-C. ROTA, "Ordinary Differential Equations," Blaisdell, Waltham, Massachusetts, 1962.
2. D. W. KAMMLER, Existence of best approximations by sums of exponentials, *J. Approx. Theory* **9** (1973), 78-90.